

Random Schrödinger operators with a background potential

Hayk Asatryan and Werner Kirsch
Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
FernUniversität in Hagen, Germany

1 Notations, assumptions

We consider Schrödinger operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of the form

$$H_\omega = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + U + V_{per} + V_\omega. \quad (1)$$

We assume that the background potential U belongs to the space of real valued uniformly square integrable functions

$$L^2_{\text{loc,unif}} = \{F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{x-1}^{x+1} |F(x)|^2 dx < \infty\} \quad (2)$$

and

$$U(x) \rightarrow a^- \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty, \quad U(x) \rightarrow a^+ \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, V_{per} is a 1-periodic real valued function in $L^2_{\text{loc,unif}}$.

V_ω is a random alloy-type potential of the form

$$V_\omega(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} q_k(\omega) f(x-k) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}), \quad (4)$$

where q_k are independent random variables with a common distribution P_0 .

We suppose that f , called the single site potential, is a real valued function satisfying

$$|f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\gamma} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}) \quad (5)$$

for some $\gamma > 1$.

We assume for simplicity that $\text{supp } P_0$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} . We remark that it would be sufficient that enough moments of P_0 exist. Moreover, f may have local singularities.

Under the above assumptions the potentials U, V_{per}, V_ω and their sums belong to $L^2_{loc, unif}$, hence they are H_0 -bounded by [8], Theorem XIII.96 and all operators are essentially self adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

We introduce the following notations:

$$H_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \quad (\text{the free Hamiltonian}), \quad (6)$$

$$H_U = H_0 + U \quad (7)$$

$$H_{per} = H_0 + V_{per}, \quad (8)$$

$$H_{U,per} = H_0 + U + V_{per}. \quad (9)$$

2 The essential spectra of H_{U+V} and $H_{U,per}$

One of the main observations of this section is the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $U_1, U_2, V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be H_0 -bounded measurable functions and*

$$U_j(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} a^-, \quad U_j(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} a^+ \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

for some $a^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_{U_1+V}) = \sigma_{ess}(H_{U_2+V}).$$

Proof. We need to prove that

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_{U_1+V}) \subset \sigma_{ess}(H_{U_2+V}),$$

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_{U_2+V}) \subset \sigma_{ess}(H_{U_1+V}).$$

We'll prove the first inclusion (the proof of the second one is similar). Let

$$\lambda \in \sigma_{ess}(H_{U_1+V}).$$

By Weyl's criterion and Theorem 3.11 in [3] we conclude that there is a Weyl sequence of functions $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\|\varphi_n\|_2 = 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$\|(H_{U_1+V} - \lambda I)\varphi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (10)$$

such that either

$$\text{supp } \varphi_n \subset (-\infty, n) \quad \text{for all } n \quad (11)$$

or

$$\text{supp } \varphi_n \subset (n, \infty) \quad \text{for all } n \quad (12)$$

holds. Assume (11) is true, then

$$\|(H_{U_1+V} - \lambda I) \varphi_n\|_2 - \|(H_V - (\lambda - a^-) I) \varphi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\|(H_{U_2+V} - \lambda I) \varphi_n\|_2 - \|(H_V - (\lambda - a^-) I) \varphi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

and hence

$$\|(H_{U_1+V} - \lambda I) \varphi_n\|_2 - \|(H_{U_2+V} - \lambda I) \varphi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

From this and (10) we obtain

$$\|(H_{U_2+V} - \lambda I) \varphi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

therefore

$$\lambda \in \sigma_{ess}(H_{U_2+V}).$$

□

As a corollary to the proof of Theorem 2.1 we get

Corollary 2.2. *Let $U, V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable, H_0 -bounded and*

$$U(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} a^-, \quad U(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} a^+$$

(in the usual sense), where $a^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_{U+V}) \subset (a^- + \sigma_{ess}(H_V)) \cup (a^+ + \sigma_{ess}(H_V)), \quad (13)$$

Remark 2.3. The previous theorem shows that the knowledge of V, a^\pm is sufficient for unique determination of $\sigma_{ess}(H_{U+V})$. In fact,

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_{U+V}) = \sigma_{ess}(H_{U_c+V}),$$

where

$$U_c = a^- \chi_{(-\infty, 0]} + a^+ \chi_{(0, \infty)}.$$

In general equality in (13) does not hold. However, for the case of periodic potentials we have:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable, H_0 -bounded and satisfy the conditions*

$$U(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} a^-, \quad U(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} a^+$$

and let W be a H_0 -bounded periodic potential, then

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_0 + U + W) = (a^- + \sigma_{ess}(H_0 + W)) \cup (a^+ + \sigma_{ess}(H_0 + W)).$$

Remark 2.5. It is well known that under the above assumptions on W we have $\sigma_{ess}(H_0 + W) = \sigma(H_0 + W)$. See [8].

Proof. In the view of Corollary 2.2, we need to prove that

$$a^- + \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_0 + W) \subset \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_0 + U + W), \quad (14)$$

$$a^+ + \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_0 + W) \subset \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_0 + U + W). \quad (15)$$

We'll prove (14) (the proof of (15) is similar). Let

$$\lambda \in a^- + \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_0 + W),$$

i.e. $\lambda - a^- \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_0 + W)$.

Then there is a Weyl sequence $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with

1. $\|\varphi_n^-\|_2 = 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$,
2. $\|(H_0 + W - (\lambda - a^-)I)\varphi_n^-\|_2 \rightarrow 0$,

Since W is periodic any shift of φ_n by an integer times the period of W is also a Weyl sequence for $H_0 + W + a^-$. Thus we may assume that $\text{supp } \varphi_n \subset (-\infty, -n)$. As in the previous proofs one easily sees that this sequence is also a Weyl sequence for $H_0 + U + W$. \square

3 The essential spectrum of H_ω

We turn to the spectrum of H_ω . To do so, we first describe the spectrum of $H_0 + V_\omega$, i.e. the case $U = 0$.

We follow the investigation in [4].

Definition 3.1. A potential $W(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho_k f(x - k)$ is called *admissible*, if $\rho_k \in \text{supp } P_0$ for all k . Let us denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all admissible potentials, generated by ℓ -periodic ρ_k for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.2. *The spectrum $\sigma(H_0 + V_\omega)$ is independent of ω almost surely and is given (almost surely) by*

$$\Sigma := \sigma(H_0 + V_\omega) = \overline{\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(H_0 + W)} \quad (16)$$

For a proof we refer to [4].

In particular, the following result was proved in [4].

Lemma 3.3. *If W is a periodic admissible potential and $\lambda \in \sigma(H_0 + W)$ then there are sequences $\varphi_n^+, \varphi_n^- \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in the domain of $H_0 + W$, such that*

1. $\|\varphi_n^+\| = \|\varphi_n^-\| = 1$
2. *The supports of φ_n^+ and φ_n^- are compact and satisfy*
 $\text{supp } \varphi_n^+ \subset [n, \infty)$ *and* $\text{supp } \varphi_n^- \subset (-\infty, -n]$

3. For almost all ω

$$\|(H_0 + V_\omega - \lambda) \varphi_n^+\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|(H_0 + V_\omega - \lambda) \varphi_n^-\| \rightarrow 0$$

From this we conclude

Theorem 3.4. *Almost surely*

$$\sigma(H_\omega) = \sigma(H_0 + V_\omega + a^-) \cup \sigma(H_0 + V_\omega + a^+) \quad (17)$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.2 we know that

$$\sigma(H_\omega) \subset \sigma(H_0 + V_\omega + a^-) \cup \sigma(H_0 + V_\omega + a^+). \quad (18)$$

To prove the converse we observe that for any $W \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\sigma(H_0 + W + a^\pm) \subset \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H + U + W) \quad (19)$$

by Theorem 2.4. It is easy to see (e. g. as in [4]) that almost surely for $W \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H + U + W) \subset \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H + U + V_\omega). \quad (20)$$

We conclude that

$$\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(H_0 + W + a^+) \cup \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(H_0 + W + a^-) \subset \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H + U + V_\omega). \quad (21)$$

Since the righthand side is a closed set we infer from Theorem 3.2 that almost surely

$$\sigma(H_0 + V_\omega + a^-) \cup \sigma(H_0 + V_\omega + a^+) \subset \sigma(H_\omega). \quad (22)$$

□

4 The Integrated Density of States

In this section we investigate the integrated density of states of the operators H_ω .

Definition 4.1. Let A be a self adjoint operator bounded below and with (possibly infinite) purely discrete spectrum $\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \lambda_3(A) \leq \dots$ where we count eigenvalues according to their multiplicities. Then we set

$$N(A, E) := \#\{j \mid \lambda_j(A) \leq E\}. \quad (23)$$

For $H = H_0 + W$ (with $W \in L_{\text{loc, unif}}^2$) and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ we define $H_{a,b}^D$ to be the operator H restricted to $L^2([a, b])$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions both at a and b . Similarly, $H_{a,b}^N$ has Neumann

boundary conditions at a and b , $H_{a,b}^{D,N}$ has Dirichlet boundary condition at a and Neumann boundary condition at b , $H_{a,b}^{N,D}$ has Neumann boundary condition at a and Dirichlet one at b .

If for $H = H_0 + W$ the limit

$$\mathcal{N}(E) = \mathcal{N}(H, E) := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2L} N(H_{-L,L}^D, E) \quad (24)$$

exists for all but countably many E , we call $\mathcal{N}(E)$ the *integrated density of states* for H .

It is well known that under our assumptions the integrated density of states for $H = H_0 + V_\omega$ exists, more precisely:

Theorem 4.2. *If V_ω satisfies the assumptions of Section 1, then the integrated density of states for $\mathcal{N}(H, E)$ exists and for all but countably many E the following equalities hold:*

$$\mathcal{N}(H, E) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(H_{-L,L}^N(E))}{2L} = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(N(H_{-L,L}^D(E))\right)}{2L} = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(N(H_{-L,L}^N(E))\right)}{2L}. \quad (25)$$

(\mathbb{E} denotes expectation with respect to \mathbb{P} .)

For a proof see [5]. The proof there uses the method of Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing (see [8]), in particular it is used:

Theorem 4.3. *If $a < c < b$ and $X, Y \in \{D, N\}$, then*

$$N(H_{a,c}^{X,D}, E) + N(H_{c,b}^{D,Y}, E) \leq N(H_{a,c}^{X,Y}, E) \leq N(H_{a,c}^{X,N}, E) + N(H_{c,b}^{N,Y}, E). \quad (26)$$

For the integrated density of states of the operator $H_\omega = H_0 + U + V_{per} + V_\omega$ we have the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *The integrated density of states $\mathcal{N}(H_\omega, E)$ exists and can be expressed in terms of $\mathcal{N}_0(E)$, the integrated density of states of $H_0 + V_\omega$ by:*

$$\mathcal{N}(H_\omega, E) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_0(E - a^-) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_0(E - a^+) \quad (27)$$

To prove this result we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.5. *For the integrated density of states \mathcal{N}_0 of $H_0 + V_\omega$ we have for any fixed M with $M < L$ and any $X, Y \in \{D, N\}$:*

$$\mathcal{N}_0(E) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \mathbb{E}\left(N\left((H_0 + V_\omega)_{M,L}^{X,Y}\right)\right) \quad (28)$$

$$= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \mathbb{E}\left(N\left((H_0 + V_\omega)_{-L,-M}^{X,Y}\right)\right) \quad (29)$$

Proof. By the stationarity of the potential we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(N\left((H_0 + V_\omega)_{M,L}^{X,Y}\right)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(N\left((H_0 + V_\omega)_{-(L-M)/2, (L-M)/2}^{X,Y}\right)\right). \quad (30)$$

Thus, the lemma follows from Theorem 4.2 □

We know prove Theorem 4.4.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(N \left((H_0 + U + V_\omega)_{-L,L}^{X,Y} \right) \right) &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(N \left((H_0 + U + V_\omega)_{-L,-M}^{X,N} \right) \right) + \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left(N \left((H_0 + U + V_\omega)_{-M,M}^{N,N} \right) \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(N \left((H_0 + U + V_\omega)_{M,L}^{N,Y} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We take $M > 0$ so large that $|U(x) - a^-| < \varepsilon/2$ for $x \leq -M$ and $|U(x) - a^+| < \varepsilon/2$ for $x \geq M$.

Let us divide inequality (31) by $2L$. Then the middle term goes to zero as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover in the limit the first term on the right hand side can be bounded by $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_0(E - a_-) + \varepsilon/2$. Similarly the third term can be bounded by $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_0(E - a_+) + \varepsilon/2$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we proved

$$\mathbb{E} \left(N \left((H_0 + U + V_\omega)_{-L,L}^{X,Y} \right) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_0(E - a^-) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_0(E - a^+). \quad (32)$$

The inverse inequality follows if we use Dirichlet, instead of Neumann boundary conditions for the inequalities (31). □

References

- [1] Adams R. A., Fournier J. J. F. *Sobolev Spaces*. – Academic Press, 2003
- [2] Eastham M. S. P. *The Spectral Theory of Periodic Differential Equations*. – Belfast, Scottish Academic Press, 1973
- [3] Cycon H. L., Froese R. G., Kirsch W., Simon B. *Schrödinger Operators*. – Springer, 2008
- [4] Kirsch W., Martinelli F. *On the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with a random potential*. Comm. Math. Phys. 85, 329–350, 1982
- [5] Kirsch W., Martinelli F. (1982): *On the density of states of Schrödinger operators with a random potential*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 15 (7), 2139–2156, 1982
- [6] Naimark M. A., *Linear differential operators. Part II*. – London, George G. Harrap & Co., 1968.
- [7] Reed M., Simon B. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. 2. Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*. – Academic Press, 1975
- [8] Reed M., Simon B. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. 4. Analysis of Operators*. – Academic Press, 1978