

Luis A. Goddyn Winfried Hochstättler:

Nowhere-zero flows in regular matroids and Hadwiger's Conjecture

Technical Report feu-dmo031.13 Contact: goddyn@sfu.ca winfried.hochstaettler@fernuni-hagen.de

FernUniversität in Hagen Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik Lehrgebiet für Diskrete Mathematik und Optimierung D – 58084 Hagen

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15, 05B35, 52C40 Keywords: nowher zero flow, regular matroid, chromatic number, flow number, total unimodularity

# NOWHERE-ZERO FLOWS IN REGULAR MATROIDS AND HADWIGER'S CONJECTURE

LUIS A. GODDYN AND WINFRIED HOCHSTÄTTLER

ABSTRACT. We present a tool that shows, that the existence of a k-nowhere-zero-flow is compatible with 1-,2and 3-sums in regular matroids. As application we present a conjecture for regular matroids that is equivalent to Hadwiger's conjecture for graphs and Tuttes's 4- and 5-flow conjectures.

KEYWORDS: nowhere zero flow, regular matroid, chromatic number, flow number, total unimodularity

# 1. INTRODUCTION

A (real) matrix is totally unimodular (TUM) if each subdeterminant belongs to  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ . Totally unimodular matrices enjoy several nice properties which give them a fundamental role in combinatorial optimization and matroid theory. In this note we prove that the TUM possesses an attractive property.

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , and let A be a real matrix. A column vector f is a S-flow of A if Af = 0 and every entry of f is a member of  $\pm S$ .

For any additive abelian group  $\Gamma$  use the notation  $\Gamma^* = \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ . For a TUM A and a column vector f with entries in  $\Gamma$ , the product Af is a well defined column vector with entries in  $\Gamma$ , by interpreting  $(-1)\gamma$  to be the additive inverse of  $\gamma$ .

It is convenient to use the language of matroids. A regular oriented matroid M is an oriented matroid that is representable M = M[A] by a TUM matrix A. Here the elements E(M) of M label the columns of A. Each (signed) cocircuit  $D = (D^+, D^-)$  of M corresponds to a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -valued vector in the row space of A and having minimal support. The +1-entries in this vector constitute the sets  $D^+$ . It is known [19, Prop. 1.2.5] that two TUMs represent the same oriented matroid if and only if the first TUM can be converted to the second TUM by a succession of the following operations: multiplying a row by -1, adding one row to another, deleting a row of zeros, and permuting columns (with their labels).

For  $S \subseteq E(M)$  we use the notation  $f(S) = \sum_{e \in S} f(e)$ . Let M = M[A] be the regular oriented matroid represented by the TUM A. Let  $S \subseteq \Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is an abelian group. An S-flow of M is a function  $f : E(M) \to S$  for which Af = 0, where f is interpreted to be a vector indexed by the column labels of A. For any  $S \subseteq \Gamma$ we say that a regular matroid M has an S-flow if any of the TUMs that represent M has an S-flow. By the previous paragraph, this property of M is well defined. Since the rows of a TUM A generate the cocycle space of M = M[A], we have that a function  $f : E(M) \to \Gamma$  is a flow if and only if for every signed cocircuit  $D = (D^+, D^-)$  we have that f(D) = 0 where f(D) is defined to equal  $f(D^+) - f(D^-)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite abelian group. Let M be a regular oriented matroid, and let  $F \subseteq E(M)$  and let  $f: F \to \Gamma$ . Let  $\tau_{\Gamma}(M, f)$  denote the number of  $\Gamma^*$ -flows of M which are extensions of f.

THEOREM 1. Let M be an regular oriented matroid. Let  $F \subseteq E(M)$  and let  $f, f' : F \to \Gamma$ . Suppose that for every minor N of M satisfying E(N) = F, we have that f is a  $\Gamma$ -flow of N if and only f' is a  $\Gamma$ -flow of N. Then  $\tau_{\Gamma}(M, f) = \tau_{\Gamma}(M, f')$ .

Proof. We proceed by induction on  $d = |E \setminus F|$ . If d = 0, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise let  $e \in E \setminus F$ . If e is a coloop of M, then  $\tau_{\Gamma}(M, f) = \tau_{\Gamma}(M, f') = 0$ . If e is a loop of M, then by applying induction to  $M \setminus e$ , we have  $\tau_{\Gamma}(M, f) = \tau_{\Gamma}(M, f') = (|\Gamma| - 1)\tau_{\Gamma}(M \setminus e, f)$ . Otherwise we apply Tutte's deletion/contraction formula [3] and induction to get

$$\tau_{\Gamma}(M, f') = \tau_{\Gamma}(M/e, f') - \tau_{\Gamma}(M\backslash e, f') = \tau_{\Gamma}(M/e, f) - \tau_{\Gamma}(M\backslash e, f) = \tau_{\Gamma}(M, f).$$

COROLLARY 2. Let D be a positively oriented cocircuit of a regular oriented matroid M. Let  $f, f': D \to \Gamma$ . Suppose that for every  $S \subseteq D$  we have that f(S) = 0 if and only if f'(S) = 0. Then  $\tau_{\Gamma}(M, f) = \tau_{\Gamma}(M, f')$ .

*Proof.* Let N be a minor of M satisfying E(N) = D. Then E(N) is a disjoint union  $\bigcup_i D_i$  of positively oriented cocircuits of N [9, Prop. 9.3.1]. Thus f is a  $\Gamma$ \*-flow of N if and only if f has no zeros, and  $f(D_i) = 0$  for each i. The result follows from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 3. Let M be a regular oriented matroid which has a  $\Gamma^*$ -flow f.

- (1) Let  $e \in E(M)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$ . Then M has a  $\Gamma^*$ -flow f' with  $f'(e) = \gamma$ .
- (2) Let D be a signed cocircuit of M of cardinality three. Let  $f': D \to \Gamma^*$  satisfy f'(D) = 0. Then f' extends to a  $\Gamma^*$ -flow of M.
- *Proof.* (1) In any minor N with  $E(N) = \{e\}$ , both f' and  $f \upharpoonright_{\{e\}}$  are  $\Gamma^*$ -flows of N if and only if N is a loop. Thus by Theorem 1  $\tau_{\Gamma}(M, f') = \tau_{\Gamma}(M, f) > 0$ .
  - (2) Let  $S \subset D$ . For any  $e \in D$  we have  $f'(D \setminus \{e\}) = f'(D) f'(e) = -f'(e) \neq 0$ . Therefore f'(S) = 0 if and only if S = D. Since f is a  $\Gamma$ -flow and D is a positively oriented cocircuit of D we have f(D) = 0. Since  $f(e) \neq 0$  for  $e \in D$  we again have that f(S) = 0 if and only if S = D. It follows from Theorem 1 that  $\tau_{\Gamma}(M, f') = \tau_{\Gamma}(M, f) > 0$ .

A k-nowhere zero flow (k-NZF) of a regular oriented matroid M is an S-flow of M for  $S = \{1, 2, ..., k-1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . We frequently use the following observation of Tutte [15].

PROPOSITION 4. Let  $\Gamma$  be an abelian group of order k, and let  $S = \{1, 2, ..., k - 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then M has a k-NZF if and only if M has a  $\Gamma^*$ -flow. In particular, the existence of a  $\Gamma^*$ -flow in M depends only on  $|\Gamma|$ .

A key step in the proof of Proposition 4 is the conversion of a  $\Gamma^*$ -flow into a k-NZF, where  $\Gamma$  is the group of integers modulo k. By modifying this argument, one can show that the statement of Corollary 3 remains true if each occurrence of the symbol  $\Gamma^*$  is replaced by the set of integers  $S = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm (k-1)\}$ . We omit the proof of this fact, as it is not needed in this paper.

# 2. Seymour decomposition

We provide here a description of Seymour's decomposition theorem for regular oriented matroids. We refer the reader to [13] for further details. We first describe three basic types of regular oriented matroids.

A oriented matroid is graphic if it can be represented by the  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -valued vertex-edge incidence matrix of a directed graph, where loops and multiple edges are allowed. Any  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -valued matrix which whose rows span the nullspace of a network matrix is called a *dual network matrix*. Dual network matrices are also TUM, and an oriented matroid is *cographic* is it is representable by a dual network matrix. The third class consists of all the all the orientations of one special regular matroid  $R_{10}$ . Every orientation of  $R_{10}$  can be represented by the matrix [I|B] where B is obtained by negating a subset of the columns of the following matrix.

(1) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} + & 0 & 0 & + & - \\ - & + & 0 & 0 & + \\ + & - & + & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & + & - & + & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + & - & + \end{bmatrix}$$

Here "+" and "-" respectively denote +1 and -1.

Let  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  be regular oriented matroids. If  $E(M_1)$  and  $E(M_2)$  are disjoint, then the 1-sum  $M_1 \oplus_1 M_2$  is just the direct sum of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . The signed cocircuits of  $M_1 \oplus_1 M_2$  are the signed subsets of  $E(M_1) \cup E(M_2)$ which are signed cocircuits of either  $M_1$  or  $M_2$ . If  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{e\}$  and e is neither a loop nor a coloop in each  $M_i$ , then the 2-sum  $M_1 \oplus_2 M_2$  has element set  $E(M_1) \Delta E(M_2)$ , where " $\Delta$ " is the symmetric difference operator. A signed cocircuit is a signed subset of  $E(M_1 \oplus_2 M_2)$  that is either a signed cocircuit of  $M_1$  or  $M_2$ , or is a signed set of the form

(2) 
$$D = (D_1^+ \Delta D_2^+, D_1^- \Delta D_2^-)$$

where each  $(D_i^+, D_i^-)$  is a signed cocircuit of  $M_i$ , and  $e \in (D_1^+ \cap D_2^+) \cup (D_1^- \cap D_2^-)$ . If  $M_1 \cap M_2 = B$  and  $B = (B^+, B^-)$  is a signed cocircuit of cardinality 3 in each  $M_i$ , then the 3-sum  $M_1 \oplus_3 M_2$  has element set  $E(M_1)\Delta E(M_2)$ . A signed cocircuit is a signed subset of  $E(M_1 \oplus_3 M_2)$  that is either a signed cocircuit of  $M_1$  or  $M_2$ , or a signed subset of the form (2) where each  $(D_i^+, D_i^-)$  is a signed cocircuit of  $M_i$ , with  $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$  and  $(B^+, B^-)$  equals one of the following ordered pairs:

$$( (D_1^+ \cap B^+) \cup (D_2^+ \cap B^+), (D_1^- \cap B^-) \cup (D_2^- \cap B^-) ) ( (D_1^- \cap B^+) \cup (D_2^- \cap B^+), (D_1^+ \cap B^-) \cup (D_2^+ \cap B^-) ).$$

The oriented version of Seymour's decomposition theorem [13] and can be derived from [5, Theorem 6.6].

THEOREM 5. Every regular oriented matroid M can be constructed by means of repeated application of k-sums, k = 1, 2, 3, starting with oriented matroids, each of which is isomorphic to a minor of M and each of which is either graphic, cographic, or an orientation of  $R_{10}$ .

We note that Schriver [12] states an equivalent version of Theorem 5 in terms of TUMs, that requires a second representation of  $R_{10}$  in (1) due to his implicit selection of a basis.

Here is the main tool of this paper, which we employ in two subsequent applications.

THEOREM 6. Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a set of regular oriented matroids that is closed under minors. If every graphic and cographic member of  $\mathcal{M}$  has a k-NZF, then every matroid in  $\mathcal{M}$  has a k-NZF.

Proof. Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ . We proceed by induction on |E(M)|. If M is an orientation of  $R_{10}$ , then M has a 2-NZF since  $R_{10}$  is a disjoint union of circuits, and each circuit is the support of a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -flow in M. If M is graphic or cographic, then we are done by assumption. Otherwise, by Theorem 5, M has two proper minors  $M_1$ ,  $M_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . such that  $M = M_1 \oplus_i M_2$ , for some i = 1, 2, 3. By induction, each  $M_i$  has a k-NZF. Thus by Proposition 4, both minors have a  $\Gamma^*$ -flow where  $\Gamma$  is any fixed group of order k. By Corollary 3, we may assume that these  $\Gamma^*$ -flows coincide on  $M_1 \cap M_2$ . Hence the union of these functions is a well defined  $\Gamma^*$ -flow on M and we are done by another application of Proposition 4.

## 3. TUTTE'S FLOW CONJECTURES AND HADWIGER'S CONJECTURE

In this section we will present a conjecture that unifies two of Tutte's Flow Conjectures and Hadwiger's Conjecture on graph colorings.

CONJECTURE 7 (H(k)[4]). If a simple graph is not k-colorable, then it must have a  $K_{k+1}$ -minor.

While H(1) and H(2) are trivial, Hadwiger proved his conjecture for k = 3 and pointed out that Klaus Wagner proved that H(4) is equivalent to the Four Color Theorem [18, 2, 10]. Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [11] reduced H(5) to the Four Color Theorem. The conjecture remains open for  $k \ge 6$ .

Tutte [15] pointed out that the Four Color Theorem is equivalent to the statement that every planar graph admits an 4-NZ-flow. Generalizing this to arbitrary graphs he conjectured that

CONJECTURE 8 (Tutte's Flow Conjecture [15]). There is a finite number  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every bridgeless graph admits a k-NZ-flow.

and moreover that

CONJECTURE 9 (Tutte's Five Flow Conjecture [15]). Every bridgeless graph admits a 5-NZ-flow.

Note that the latter is best possible as the Petersen graph does not admit a 4-NZ-flow. Conjecture 8 has been proven independently by Kilpatrick [7] and Jaeger [6] with k = 8 and improved to k = 6 by Seymour [14]. Conjecture 9 has a sibling which is a more direct generalization of the Four Color Theorem.

CONJECTURE 10 (Tutte's Four Flow Conjecture [16, 17]). Every graph without a Petersen-minor admits a 4-NZ-flow.

In [16, 17] Tutte cited Hadwiger's conjecture as a motivating theme and pointed out that while "Hadwiger's conjecture asserts that the only irreducible chain-group which is graphic is the coboundary group of the complete 5-graph"

### LUIS A. GODDYN AND WINFRIED HOCHSTÄTTLER

Conjecture 10 means that

"the only irreducible chain-group which is cographic is the cycle group of the Petersen graph."

The first statement refers to the case where the rows of a totally unimodular matrix A consist of a basis of signed characteristic vectors of cycles of a digraph.

Combining these we derive the following formulation in terms of regular matroids. First let us call any integer combination of the rows of A a *coflow*. Clearly, by duality resp. orthogonality, flows and coflows yield the same concept in regular matroids. Note that the existence of a k-NZ-coflow in a graph is equivalent to k-colorability [16].

CONJECTURE 11 (Tutte's Four Flow Conjecture, matroid version). A regular matroid that does not admit a 4-NZ-flow has either a minor isomorphic to the cographic matroid of the  $K_5$  or a minor isomorphic to the graphic matroid of the Petersen graph.

Equivalently, we have

CONJECTURE 12 (Hadwigers's Conjecture for regular matroids and k = 4). A regular matroid that is not 4-colorable, i.e. that does not admit a NZ-4-coflow, has a  $K_5$  or a Petersen-dual as a minor.

Some progress concerning this Conjecture was made by Lai, Li and Poon using the Four Color Theorem

THEOREM 13 ([8]). A regular matroid that is not 4-colorable has a  $K_5$  or a  $K_5$ -dual as a minor.

Tutte's Five Flow Conjecture now suggests the following matroid version of Hadwiger's conjecture:

CONJECTURE 14 (Hadwigers's Conjecture for regular matroids and  $k \ge 5$ ). If a regular matroid is not k-colorable for  $k \ge 5$ , then it must have a  $K_{k+1}$ -minor.

THEOREM 15. (1) Conjecture 11 is equivalent to Conjecture 10.

- (2) Conjecture 14 for k = 5 is equivalent to Conjecture 9.
- (3) Conjecture 14 for  $k \ge 6$  is equivalent to Conjecture 7.
- Proof. (1) By Weiske's Theorem [4] a graphic matroid has no  $K_5^*$ -minor. Hence Conjecture 11 clearly implies Conjecture 10. The other implication is proven by induction on |E(M)|. Consider a regular matroid M, that is not 4-colorable, i.e. that does not admit a NZ-4-coflow. Clearly, M cannot be isomorphic to  $R_{10}$ . If M is graphic, it must have a  $K_5$ -minor by the Four Color Theorem [2, 10] and an observation of Klaus Wagner [18]. If M is cographic it must have a Petersen-dual-minor by Conjecture 10. Otherwise, by Theorem 5, M has two proper minors  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . such that  $M = M_1 \oplus_i M_2$ , for some i = 1, 2, 3 and at least one of them is not 4-colorable by Theorem 6. Using induction we find either a Petersen-dual-minor or a  $K_5$ -minor in one of the  $M_i$  and hence also in M. Thus, Conjecture 10 implies Conjecture 11.
  - (2) We proceed as in the first case using H(5) for graphs [11] instead of the Four Color Theorem.
  - (3) We proceed similar to the first case, with only a slight difference in the base case. If M is graphic, it must have a  $K_{k+1}$ -minor by Conjecture 7. M cannot be cographic by Seymour's 6-flow-theorem [14].

REMARK 16. James Oxley pointed that Theorem 15 could also be proven using splitting formulas for the Tutte polynomial (see e.g. [1]), Seymour's decomposition and the fact that the flow number as well as the chromatic number are determined by the smallest non-negative integer non-zero of certain evaluations of the Tutte polynomial.

#### References

- 1. Artur Andrzejak, Splitting formulas for tutte polynomials, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **70** (1997), no. 2, 346 366.
- 2. Kenneth I. Appel and Wolfgang Haken, Every planar map is four colorable, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1976), no. 5, 711–712.
- D.K Arrowsmith and F Jaeger, On the enumeration of chains in regular chain-groups, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 32 (1982), no. 1, 75–89.
- 4. Hugo Hadwiger, Über eine Klassifikation der Streckenkomplexe, Vierteljahresschrift der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Zürich 88 (1943), 133–142.

4

- 5. Winfried Hochstättler and Robert Nickel, *The flow lattice of oriented matroids*, Contributions to Discrete Mathematics **2** (2007), no. 1, 68–86.
- 6. F. Jaeger, Flows and generalized coloring theorems in graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 26 (1979), no. 2, 205 216.
- 7. Peter Allan Kilpatrick, Tutte's first colour-cycle conjecture., Master's thesis, University of Cape Town, 1975.
- 8. Hong-Jian Lai, Xiangwen Li, and Hoifung Poon, Nowhere zero 4-flow in regular matroids, J. Graph Theory 49 (2005), no. 3, 196–204.
- 9. James G. Oxley, Matroid theory, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- Neil Robertson, Daniel Sanders, Paul Seymour, and Robin Thomas, The Four-Colour theorem, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 70 (1997), no. 1, 2–44.
- Neil Robertson, Paul Seymour, and Robin Thomas, Hadwiger's conjecture for k<sub>6</sub>-free graphs, Combinatorica 13 (1993), 279–361.
- 12. Alexander Schrijver, Theory of linear and integer programming, Wiley, June 1998.
- P. D. Seymour, Decomposition of regular matroids, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 28 (1980), no. 3, 305–359. MR 579077 (82j:05046)
- 14. \_\_\_\_\_, Nowhere-zero 6-flows, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 30 (1981), no. 2, 130–135. MR MR615308 (82j:05079)
- 15. W.T. Tutte, A contribution to the theory of chromatic polynomials, Canad. J. Math. 6 (1954), 80–91.
- 16. \_\_\_\_\_, On the algebraic theory of graph colorings, Journal of Combinatorial Theory 1 (1966), no. 1, 15 50.
- 17. \_\_\_\_\_, A geometrical version of the four color problem, Combinatorial Math. and Its Applications (R. C. Bose and T. A. Dowling, eds.), Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 1967.
- 18. K. Wagner, Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe, Mathematische Annalen 114 (1937), no. 1, 570–590.
- 19. Neil White, Combinatorial geometries, Cambridge University Press, September 1987.