



DISKRETE MATHEMATIK UND OPTIMIERUNG

Winfried Hochstättler:

**The NL-flow polynomial**

Technical Report feu-dmo047.18

Contact: [winfried.hochstaettler@fernuni-hagen.de](mailto:winfried.hochstaettler@fernuni-hagen.de)

FernUniversität in Hagen  
Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik  
Lehrgebiet für Diskrete Mathematik und Optimierung  
D – 58084 Hagen

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C17, 05C20, 05C15  
**Keywords:** dichromatic number, colorings, flows, flow polynomial

# The $NL$ -flow polynomial

Winfried Hochstättler

FernUniversität in Hagen, Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik

Universitätsstr. 1, 58084 Hagen, Germany

winfried.hochstaettler@fernuni-hagen.de

## Abstract

We generalize the Equivalence Theorem for Nowhere-Zero-Flows to NEUMANN-LARA-flows ( $NL$ -flows). In particular we show that the number of group valued  $NL$ -flows of a given digraph is counted by a polynomial in the order of the group.

## 1 Introduction

Victor Neumann-Lara [8] introduced the dichromatic number  $\bar{\chi}(D)$  of a digraph  $D$ , also called acyclic chromatic number, as the smallest integer  $k$  such that the vertices  $V$  of  $D$  can be colored with  $k$  colors and each color class induces a directed acyclic graph. In a recent paper [6] we developed a flow theory for the dichromatic number that mimics Tutte's theory of nowhere-zero-flows for the case of the classic chromatic number. The purpose of this paper is to pursue this analogy introducing algebraic  $NL$ -flows and a polynomial, which counts these flows.

Our notation is fairly standard and, if not explicitly defined, should follow the books of Diestel [5] for graphs and Björner et. al. [3] for oriented matroids. Note that all our digraphs may have parallel and antiparallel arcs.

## 2 The Equivalence Theorem

**Definition 1.** Let  $D = (V, A)$  be a (multi-)digraph. A NEUMANN-LARA- $k$ -flow, a  $NL$ - $k$ -flow for short is a map

$$f : A \rightarrow \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(k-1)\},$$

which is a flow

$$\forall v \in V : \sum_{a \in \partial^+(v)} f(a) = \sum_{a \in \partial^-(v)} f(a)$$

such that  $D[A/\text{supp}(f)]$  is totally cyclic, i.e. every component is strongly connected. If  $G$  is a finite Abelian group in additive notation, we define a  $NL$ - $G$ -flow

as a map

$$f : A \rightarrow G,$$

which is a flow such that contracting its support yields a totally cyclic digraph.

With this definition we get an equivalence theorem in full analogy to the case of nowhere-zero flows ([9, 7]).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $D = (V, A)$  be a digraph. Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $G$  be an Abelian group of order  $k$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a NL- $\mathbb{Z}_k$ -flow in  $D$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a NL- $G$ -flow in  $D$ .*
- (iii) *There exists a NL- $k$ -flow in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) This is trivial. Just take the integer flow mod  $k$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$  denote a  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  flow. Choose  $\tilde{f} \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(k-1)\}^A$  satisfying  $\tilde{f}(a) \equiv f(a) \pmod k$  for all arcs  $a \in A$  and minimizing

$$\sum_{v \in V} \left| \sum_{a \in \partial^+(v)} \tilde{f}(a) - \sum_{a \in \partial^-(v)} \tilde{f}(a) \right|.$$

We claim that  $\tilde{f}$  is already a flow. Assume not and denote the set of vertices with excess by  $V^+ := \{v \in V \mid \sum_{a \in \partial^+(v)} \tilde{f}(a) > \sum_{a \in \partial^-(v)} \tilde{f}(a)\}$  and by  $V^- := \{v \in V \mid \sum_{a \in \partial^+(v)} \tilde{f}(a) < \sum_{a \in \partial^-(v)} \tilde{f}(a)\}$  the set of vertices with demand. By assumption both sets are non-empty. Consider an auxiliary network consisting of the arcs with positive flow in forward direction and backward arcs for each arc with negative flow. Assume there were no directed path from a demand vertex to an excess vertex. Then we would find a cut separating the demand vertices from the excess vertices with the additional property that the forward arcs have a negative flow and the backward arcs have a positive flow. This contradicts the law of flow conservation. Hence we find such a path. Replacing the positive flow values on arcs  $a$  by  $\tilde{f}(a) - k$  and the negative ones by  $\tilde{f}(a) + k$  we find a flow contradicting the minimality of  $\tilde{f}$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}$  must have been a flow, already.

(i)  $\iff$  (ii) In the following section we will show that the number of group valued flows is a polynomial in the order of the group, independent of its structure. □

### 3 The $NL$ -flow polynomial

The basic observation leading to the definition of the  $NL$ -flow polynomial is that a flow is an  $NL$ -flow if and only if its support is a dijoin of the digraph.

**Definition 3.** Let  $D = (V, A)$  denote a directed graph. A set of arcs  $S \subseteq A$  is a dijoin, if  $S$  intersects every non-empty directed cut.

**Proposition 4.**  $S$  is a dijoin if and only if  $D/S$  is totally cyclic.

*Proof.* If  $D/S$  is totally cyclic, then it does not contain a directed cut. Hence  $S$  must have intersected every directed cut. If  $D/S$  is not totally cyclic it contains a directed cut, which is a directed cut in  $D$  as well. Hence  $S$  is not a dijoin.  $\square$

As a consequence we find:

**Proposition 5.**  $f : A \rightarrow G$  is an  $NL$ - $G$ -flow if and only if  $\text{supp}(f)$  is a dijoin.

In the following we will show that given a fixed set  $S$  of edges the number of flows that are non-zero on  $S$  is a polynomial in the order of the group.

**Definition 6.** Let  $C \subseteq A$ , then  $f : C \rightarrow G \setminus \{e_G\}$  is a partial  $NZ$ - $G$ -flow of  $D$ , if for every cut  $\partial(X) \subseteq C$  of  $D$

$$\sum_{a \in \partial^+(X)} f(a) = \sum_{a \in \partial^-(X)} f(a).$$

The following proposition is obvious.

**Proposition 7.**  $f : C \rightarrow G \setminus \{e_G\}$  is a partial  $NZ$ - $G$ -flow of  $D$  if and only if  $f$  is a  $NZ$ - $G$ -flow of  $D/(A \setminus C)$ .

Since the number of  $NZ$ - $G$ -flows of  $D/(A \setminus C)$  is given by polynomial it now suffices to count the number of ways in which a partial  $NZ$ - $G$ -flow can be extended to a flow of  $G$ .

**Lemma 8.** Let  $G$  be an Abelian group,  $M \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{m \times n}$  a totally unimodular matrix of full row rank and  $b \in G^m$ . Then the number of solutions of  $Mx = b$  is  $|G|^{(n-m)}$ .

*Proof.* Choose a basis  $B$  of  $M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Mx &= b \\ \iff M_B^{-1}Mx &= M_B^{-1}b \\ \iff (I_m, \tilde{M}) \begin{pmatrix} x_B \\ x_{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \end{pmatrix} &= M_B^{-1}b, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{M}$  is a totally unimodular  $(m \times (n - m))$ -matrix. Thus, for every choice of values for the columns of  $\tilde{M}$  we get exactly one solution of the equation.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $f : C \rightarrow G$  define a partial NZ-flow for  $C \subseteq A$ . Then the number of extensions of  $f$  to a  $G$ -flow in  $D$  is  $k^{|A \setminus C| - \text{rk}(A \setminus C)}$ , where  $\text{rk}(A \setminus C) = |V| - \# \text{components of } D[A \setminus C]$ .*

Summarizing we get

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $C \subseteq A$  and  $\phi_C(x)$  denote the flow polynomial of  $D/(A \setminus C)$ . The number of  $G$ -flows that are non-zero on  $C$  is*

$$\phi_C(|G|)|G|^{|A \setminus C| - \text{rk}(A \setminus C)}.$$

Note, that the order of  $\phi_C$  is given by the corank of  $D/(A \setminus C)$  which computes to

$$\begin{aligned} |C| - \text{rk}(D/(A \setminus C)) &= |C| - |V(D/(A \setminus C))| - \# \text{comp. of } D/(A \setminus C) \\ &= |C| - |V| + \text{rk}(A \setminus C) - \# \text{comp. of } D. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the polynomial  $\phi_C(|G|)|G|^{|A \setminus C| - \text{rk}(A \setminus C)}$  has order  $|A| - \text{rk}(A)$ .

Using Proposition 5 we can now derive the desired result using the principle of inclusion and exclusion.

**Definition 11.** *Let  $D = (V, A)$  be a digraph and  $\{S_1, \dots, S_r\}$  denote its set of inclusionwise minimal dijoins. For  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$  let  $S_I := \cup_{i \in I} S_i$ . As above denote by  $\phi_{S_I}$  the flow polynomial of  $D/(A \setminus S_I)$ . Then the NL-flow polynomial of  $D$  is defined as*

$$\phi_{NL}^D(x) := \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \phi_{S_I}(x) x^{|A \setminus S_I| - \text{rk}(A \setminus S_I)}.$$

**Theorem 12.** *The number of NL- $G$ -flows of a digraph  $D$  depends only on the order  $k$  of  $G$  and is given by  $\phi_{NL}^D(k)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5 a flow is a NL-flow if and only if its support is a dijoin. If we denote by  $\Sigma_i$  the set of all  $G$ -flows with  $S_i$  in its support, then the principle of inclusion and exclusion immediately yields that the number of NL- $G$ -flows of  $D$  is given by

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} \Sigma_i \right|.$$

Clearly  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \Sigma_i$  is the set of flows with  $S_I = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$  in its support. By Theorem 10 thus  $|\bigcap_{i \in I} \Sigma_i| = \phi_{S_I}(|G|)|G|^{|A \setminus S_I| - \text{rk}(A \setminus S_I)}$  and the claim follows.  $\square$

## 4 Oriented Regular Matroids

The equivalence theorem for nowhere-zero-flows has been generalized to regular oriented matroids by Crapo [4] and Arrowsmith and Jaeger [2]. Like them, we can generalize our results of the previous sections to oriented regular matroids. Our main tool will be the following variant of Farkas' Lemma:

**Theorem 13** (see 3.4.4 (4P) in [3]). *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote an oriented matroid on a finite set  $E$  given by its set of covectors and  $\mathcal{O}^*$  its dual. Let  $E = P \dot{\cup} N \dot{\cup} * \dot{\cup} O$  be a partition of  $E$  and  $i_0 \in P$ . Either there exists  $X \in \mathcal{O}^*$  such that  $i_0 \in \text{supp}(X) \subseteq P \cup N \cup *$ ,  $\text{supp}(X) \cap P \subseteq X^+$  and  $\text{supp}(X) \cap N \subseteq X^-$  or there exists  $Y \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $i_0 \in \text{supp}(Y) \subseteq P \cup N \cup O$ ,  $\text{supp}(Y) \cap P \subseteq Y^+$ ,  $\text{supp}(Y) \cap N \subseteq Y^-$ , but not both.*

**Definition 14.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the set of covectors of an oriented matroid on a finite set  $E$ . We say that  $\mathcal{O}$  is totally cyclic, if the all  $+$ -vector is in  $\mathcal{O}^*$ , i.e. it is a vector.  $S \subseteq E$  is a dijoin, if  $Y \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $Y \succeq 0$  implies  $\text{supp}(Y) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.  $S$  meets every positive cocircuit.*

**Proposition 15.**  *$S \subseteq E$  is a dijoin if and only if  $\mathcal{O}/S$  is totally cyclic.*

*Proof.* Set  $P = E \setminus S$ ,  $* = S$  and  $N = O = \emptyset$ . Since  $S$  is a dijoin, there is no non-zero vector  $Y \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $\text{supp}(Y) \subseteq P$  and  $\text{supp}(Y) \cap P = Y^+$ . Thus, by Theorem 13 for every  $e \in P$  there exists  $X_e \in \mathcal{O}^*$  such that  $e \in \text{supp}(X_e)$  and  $\text{supp}(X_e) \cap P = X_e^+$ . The composition of these vectors proves that  $\mathcal{O}/S$  is strongly connected. Reading the proof backwards yields the other implication.  $\square$

It is clear that with this definition we can define the  $NL$ -flow polynomial as before. The crucial Lemma 8 for the equivalence of the first two statements in Theorem 2 dealt with totally unimodular matrices anyway. The only implication we are left to verify for an equivalence theorem for  $NL$ -flows in regular oriented matroids is (i) implies (iii). The following lemma suffices for that purpose. It could be deduced from Proposition 5 in [2]. We give a short proof for completeness.

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $M \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{m \times n}$  be a totally unimodular matrix and let  $x$  denote a  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ -flow in the corresponding regular matroid  $\mathcal{O}$ , i.e.  $Mx \equiv 0 \pmod k$ . Then there exists a  $k$ -flow  $y \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(k-1)\}^n$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $y \equiv x \pmod k$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $y \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(k-1)\}^n$  satisfying  $y \equiv x \pmod k$  that minimizes  $\|My\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m |(My)_i|$ . We claim that  $y$  must be as required. Assume not and set  $Y^+ := \{i \mid y > 0\}$ ,  $Y^0 := \{i \mid y = 0\}$ ,  $Y^- := \{i \mid y < 0\}$ ,  $P := \{i \mid (My)_i > 0\}$  and  $N := \{i \mid (My)_i < 0\}$ . By assumption  $P \cup N \neq \emptyset$ . We consider only the case  $P \neq \emptyset$ , the other case is similar. Hence let  $i_0 \in P$ . There cannot exist  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $u_P \geq 0$ ,  $u_{i_0} > 0$ ,  $u_N \leq 0$ ,  $u^\top A_{Y^+} \leq 0$  and  $u^\top A_{Y^-} \geq 0$  for the first three inequalities imply  $u^\top Ay > 0$  and the last

two  $u^\top Ay \leq 0$ . Hence by Theorem 13, applied to the pair of oriented matroids defined by the kernel and the row space of the totally unimodular matrix  $M := (A, I_P, I_N)$ , there exists  $(\tilde{y}^\top, z_P^\top, z_N^\top)^\top$  such that  $\tilde{y}_{Y^+} \leq 0$ ,  $\tilde{y}_{Y^-} \geq 0$ ,  $\tilde{y}_{Y^0} = 0$ ,  $z_P \geq 0$ ,  $z_{i_0} > 0$ ,  $z_N \leq 0$  such that  $M\tilde{y} = -I_P z_P - I_N z_N$ . Since  $M$  is totally unimodular we may assume that  $\tilde{y}$ ,  $z_P$  and  $z_N$  have entries in  $\{0, 1, -1\}$  only. Thus,  $y + k\tilde{y} \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(k-1)\}^n$  and  $y + k\tilde{y} \equiv x \pmod k$ . But since  $My$  is divisible by  $k$  we have

$$\|M(y + k\tilde{y})\|_1 = \|My - kI_P z_P - kI_N z_N\|_1 < \|My\|_1,$$

contradicting the choice of  $y$ . □

**Corollary 17.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an oriented regular matroid given by a totally unimodular matrix  $M$ . Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $G$  be an Abelian group of order  $k$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a  $NL\text{-}\mathbb{Z}_k$ -flow in  $\mathcal{O}$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a  $NL\text{-}G$ -flow in  $\mathcal{O}$ .*
- (iii) *There exists a  $NL\text{-}k$ -flow in  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

## 5 Open Problems

Our definition of the  $NL$ -flow polynomial uses in each term of the inclusion-exclusion formula a flow polynomial which itself uses that formula in its expansion. It might be possible to exploit this and find a simpler closed formula for our polynomial.

Considering the cographic oriented matroid our flow polynomial becomes the  $NL$ -coflow polynomial of  $D$  which equals the chromatic polynomial [1] for the dichromatic number divided by  $x$ . The natural question arises whether, as in the classical case, there exists a meaningful two variable polynomial combining both? Moreover, does such a polynomial or the two single variable polynomials have any meaning in the case of general oriented matroids?

Finally, it might be interesting to study the structure of the  $NL$ -flow polynomial for some special classes of orientations of graphs.

## References

- [1] B. ALTENBOKUM, *Algebraische  $NL$ -Flüsse und Polynome.*, Master's thesis, FernUniversität in Hagen, 2018.
- [2] D. ARROWSMITH AND F. JAEGER, *On the enumeration of chains in regular chain-groups*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 32 (1982), pp. 75–89.



- [3] A. BJÖRNER, M. LAS VERGNAS, B. STURMFELS, N. WHITE, AND G. M. ZIEGLER, *Oriented matroids*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd ed., 1999.
- [4] H. H. CRAPO, *The Tutte polynomial*, Aequationes Math., 3 (1969), pp. 211–229.
- [5] R. DIESTEL, *Graph Theory*, Springer, 3rd ed., Feb. 2006.
- [6] W. HOCHSTÄTTLER, *A flow theory for the dichromatic number*, European J. Combin., 66 (2017), pp. 160–167.
- [7] J. NEŠETŘIL AND A. RASPAUD, *Duality, nowhere-zero flows, colorings and cycle covers*. KAM-DIMATIA Series (99-422), 1999.
- [8] V. NEUMANN-LARA, *The dichromatic number of a digraph*, J. of Combin. Theory, Series B, 33 (1982), pp. 265–270.
- [9] W. TUTTE, *A contribution to the theory of chromatic polynomials*, Canad. J. Math., 6 (1954), pp. 80–91.